



November 29, 2021

3:00PM-4:00PM Roma Time - Online

Minutes of the WP1 – Scope definition of Talanoa-Water project Meeting

Organized by Gabriele Standardi

AGENDA:

- 1) The scope of SH boards across the different pilots (what we expect to deliver, what we expect to achieve)
- 2) The Terms of Reference (the rules of engagement in the Talanoa Dialogue – who is informed by whom, when and for what purposes, what is the frequency of the meetings, governance of the meetings and the composition of the boards etc), including a description of the process of the Talanoa Dialogue
- 3) A brief Decalogue to successfully guide the SH engagement through the Talanoa Dialogue
- 4) Letters of commitment

START MEETING: 15.00

ATTENDEES (in no particular order)

C.Dionisio Pérez-Blanco (USAL), Nina Graveline (INRAE), Gabriele Standardi (CMCC), Abdrabbo Shehata (GPAI), Francesco Sapino (USAL), Héctor González (USAL), Laura Gil (USAL), Jaroslav Mysiak (CMCC), Paolo Mazzoli, Stefano Bagli (GECOSistemasrl/CMCC), Rim Hazimeh (AUB), Roya Mourad (AUB).

Welcome Addresses

C.Dionisio Pérez-Blanco (USAL) starts the meeting reminding the meaning of the TALANOIA-Dialogue project name, focusing on the fact that this rules of engagement in the Talanoa Dialogue is not just a very useful tool (developed by Jaroslav Mysiak and Gabriele Standardi), but a compulsory as well.

Defining the key Terms of References and the outline of the Deliverable 1.1

Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) shares and comments the main parts of the Deliverable infrastructure in order to discuss and get feedback from the different Water Laboratories. It is mentioned the importance of get engagement the stakeholders to the Talanoa Project within a mutual trust relationship.

A template has been prepared by Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) to the gather and classify the different Water Laboratories' stakeholder.

Current situation of each Water Laboratory's Stakeholder engagement

A round for upgrading the current situation referring to the current relationship with stakeholders has been done.

- Jaroslav Mysiak (CMCC) begins the round explaining the needed of stablish a common roadmap as a way of operating for all Water Laboratories, being at the same time flexible enough to be adapted to the difference cultures and situations. Two main roads have been considered for this Deliverable: i) to reach out to the partners from policy and society groups and ii) to guarantee a minimum comparability though the different Water Laboratories setting a method to this end.

Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) reminds the fact, established in the proposal, of naming a rapporteur and a leader in each Water Lab in order to lead the Stakeholders engagement. To this comment, C. Dionisio Pérez-Blanco (USAL) replies saying that the leader from each Water Lab must be the IP of each study area and if there is some change in this issue, it must be notified. The rapporteur must be a stakeholder, for example someone with authority in the River Basin Authority from the local study area.

- Nina Graveline (INRAE) has contacted with the Aude River Basin Authority and she is looking for more stakeholder to invite them to the project, aiming to this variability in the stakeholder types like wine sector or focus on ecological transition, not strictly needed in water management.

- Abdrabbo Shehata (GPAI) mention the four strategies his Water Lab has in order to facing climate change and which were recorded in the proposal. They have four stakeholders focused in different sectors such as energy, water management or agricultural sector.

Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) proposes that each Water Lab send to him a summary of the actual state of the stakeholder engagements and possible name of the rapporteur to gather all of them.

- Rim Hazimeh (AUB) Lebanon Water Lab is in the process of contacting with the head of the Litani River Basin Authority who has experience in both the public and private sector and knows very well the local study area and its situation. She asks about the deadline for sending the final stakeholder information for the deliverable and Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) suggest sending it at the end of the week.

Also, Standardi (CMCC) ask whether the frequency of the stakeholders meeting in order to keep them involved (Proposal says four meetings per each Water Laboratory, 24

meetings). C. Dionisio Pérez-Blanco (USAL) replies that it depends on the number of workshops, serious games and meetings that each Water Lab need to do, preferable at least four meetings but could be more than that.

Final comments and feedbacks

Gabriele Standardi (CMCC) shows a template for providing the stakeholders' information from each Water Lab.

Nina Graveline (INRAE) comments the difficulties regarding the signing the commitment letters by some important people belonging to a important Authority, to this C. Dionisio Pérez-Blanco (USAL) says that in some cases it's better to simplify the bureaucratic processes in order to avoid barriers, so it will depend on the Nina Graveline's criteria.

Standardi (CMCC) suggest to get the first draft of the Deliverable in the next two and a half weeks